



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,082	03/02/2004	Todd W. Steigerwald	5867-00300 2947	
35617 7:	590 01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
DAFFER MC	DANEIL LLP		NGUYEN	, HUY D
P.O. BOX 6849	908			
AUSTIN, TX 78768			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
	•		2681	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/791,082	STEIGERWALD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Huy D. Nguyen	2681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 De</u>							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 3-6 and 9-15 is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>3-6 and 9-15</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa		)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/791,082

Art Unit: 2681

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui et al. (US 2005/0041624) in view of Sawada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,624,536).

Regarding claim 1, Hui et al. teaches an apparatus for reducing electromagnetic interference between a pair of antennas (e.g., components 920 and 1010) attached to a wireless communications device, wherein the apparatus is positioned proximate to a second antenna of the pair of antennas for intercepting electromagnetic energy radiated from a first antenna of the pair of antennas during transmission of a signal (see figures 8 and 11, paragraphs [0069], [0072], [0080]).

Hui et al. does not clearly teach that the apparatus comprises a plurality of resonant circuit elements, each being configured to resonate at or near a carrier frequency of the transmitted signal for redirecting at least a portion or the electromagnetic energy away from the second antenna, thereby reducing the electromagnetic interference at the second antenna. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Sawada et al. (see column 5, lines 19-41). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Sawada et al. to teaching of Hui et al. to improve the capability of said apparatus since it can absorb the electromagnetic waves located within an arbitrary wavelength

range by setting the antenna portion to such an arbitrary length defined by considering the wavelength of the electromagnetic wave to be absorbed.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Hui et al. and Sawada et al. the apparatus of claim 1, wherein combined operation of the plurality of resonant circuit elements enable the apparatus to operate over a relatively wide range of band-gap frequencies (see Sawada et al.: column 3, lines 12-19).

Regarding claims 7-8, the combination of Hui et al. and Sawada et al. the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the apparatus is configured to resonate by setting various dimensions of the apparatus to some fraction of a wavelength of the transmitted signal (see Sawada et al.: column 3, lines 12-19).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-6, 9-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 3, the cited prior arts, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the relatively wide range of band-gap frequencies comprises the carrier frequency of the transmitted signal, and extends approximately two to four octaves above the carrier frequency.

Regarding claim 9, the cited prior arts, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the apparatus of claim 8, wherein the plurality of resonant circuit elements form a periodic surface that is substantially less than one-tenth of the transmission signal wavelength.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,082

Art Unit: 2681

Contact Information

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7845. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H-J

Huy Nguyen

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

19/04